Eskom	Strategy	Duvha Engineering

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Duvha Solar PV Construction Site Establishment Area Barricading**

Unique Identifier:	
Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
Area of Applicability:	**Generation Engineering**
Documentation Type:	**Strategy**
Revision:	**1**
Total Pages:	**11**
Next Review Date:	**As required**
Disclosure Classification:	**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
.....	.....	.....
V Chirwa	**N Hlophe**	**N Hlophe**
System Engineer: Civil Structures	**Auxiliary Engineering Manager**	**Auxiliary Engineering Manager**
Date: 2026/05/07	Date: 2026/05/12	Date: 2026/05/12

CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION 3

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES 3

2.1 SCOPE 3
2.1.1 Purpose 3
2.1.2 Applicability 3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES 3
2.2.1 Normative 3
2.2.2 Informative 3
2.3 DEFINITIONS 3
2.3.1 Classification 3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS 3
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING 4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 4

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY 4

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD 4
3.2 TET MEMBERS 4
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 5
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES 9
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS 10
3.6.1 Risks 10
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions 10

4. AUTHORISATION 11

5. REVISIONS 11

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM 11

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 11

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members 4
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria 5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria 6
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities 9
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks 10
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks 10
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 10
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd is required to prepare a temporary site establishment as part of the Duvha Solar PV project. This document covers the technical evaluation strategy required to evaluate to ensure appointment of a technically qualified contractor to execute the site establishment, barricading and clearing of vegetation scope of work for a temporary establishment.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the technical evaluation criteria to be utilised for the process of evaluating the tender submissions for the Duvha Solar PV Construction Site Establishment Area Barricading.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to Duvha Power Station.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

[2] 240-44682850: PCM - Provide Engineering During Project Sourcing.

[3] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy.

[4] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure.

2.2.2 Informative

[5] N/A

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CV	Curriculum Vitae
N/A	Not Applicable
PCM	Process Control Manual
PV	Photovoltaic

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Abbreviation	Description
SHEQ	Safety, Health, Environment and Quality
SOC Ltd	State-Owned Company Limited
TET	Tender Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Generation

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Duvha Solar PV Construction Site Establishment Area Barricading Scope of work.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

A weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications. Tenderers need to have a weighted score of 70% overall or more to technically qualify for further evaluation.

The technical criteria and weighting are broken down as follows:

a) Technical: 100%

b) Safety Health Environmental and Quality (SHEQ): Objective

The SHEQ objective criteria are not included in this document as it does not form part of the technical scope.

The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the technical requirements. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tender submission against the specifications and Employer's requirements.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Vusi Chirwa	Duvha System Engineer: Civil Structures
TET 2	Thilivhali Muthakhi	Duvha System Engineer: Civil Water Retaining Structures
TET 3	Ayanda Nzo	Mechanical Engineering Senior Engineer – Station Support

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE
When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	None		

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Scoring Criteria	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	**Company Experience**				**30**	
	1.1	Completed similar projects. The company must have completed at-least 3 project to ensure competency. The previous completed civil projects must involve earthworks, site clearance, and fencing. Completion certificates or completion letters signed by the client must be submitted which reflects the following: • Client name, • Project description, (details scope of work if description is not clear) • Project start & end date. • Project location. • Name, designation and contact number of references person. In an event where the completion certificate does not have all the above details, the tenderer shall attach any supporting document that might contain the information to support the completion certificate (e.g., signed contract or purchase order)	Copies of completion certificates	5 = 100% = 3 or more verifiable completion certificates for similar projects submitted. 4 = 80% = Only 2 verifiable completion certificates for similar projects submitted. 2 = 40% = Only 1 verifiable completion certificate for similar projects submitted. 0 = 0% = No submission as required or submission is not relevant to scope of work		100

2.	**Construction Method Statement**				**30**	
	2.1	The methodology needs to describe how the scope will be executed in a safe manner that will not cause harm to the environment and people. The method statement must explain how the following activities will be executed and this should include labour and plant to be used. Construction method statement demonstrating understanding of the scope and includes the following as a minimum: • Site establishment for offices and storage container. • Vegetation clearance. • Construction of temporary perimeter barricading. • Management of construction generated waste (rubble, construction plant,etec)	Method Statement	5 = 100% = Method statement submitted covering all key points in detailed and demonstrating understanding of the scope. 4 = 80% = Method statement submitted covering a 2 of key points in detailed and demonstrating understanding of the scope. 2 = 40% = Method statement submitted covering a 1 of key point in detailed and demonstrating understanding of the scope. 0 = 0% = No submission or submitted method statement is not relevant to the scope of work or reiterate the scope of work.		100
3.	**Project Key Skills**				**40**	
	3.1	**Project Supervisor** This covers the experience of the proposed Project Supervisor for the project with a minimum qualification of a diploma in project management or construction management or civil engineering and at least 3 years of experience in construction industry with at least 1 years in a supervisory/management position.	CV and qualification	5 = 100% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects more than 3 years of experience. 4 = 80% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects 2 years of experience.		50

				2 = 40% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects 1 year of experience. 0 = 0% = No submission or incomplete submission (either no CV or qualification) or CV reflects less than 1 year of experience.		
	3.2	Technical Personnel This covers the experience of the proposed technical representative for this project with a minimum qualification of a national diploma in civil engineering, at least 3 years' experience in civil engineering field.	CV and qualification	5 = 100% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects more than 3 years of experience. 4 = 80% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects 2 years of experience. 2 = 40% = CV and qualification submitted and reflects 1 year of experience. 0 = 0% = No submission or incomplete submission (either no CV or qualification) or CV reflects less than 1 year of experience		50
					TOTAL: 100	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**
N/A	-	-	-
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**
1.1	X	X	X
2.1	X	X	X
3.1	X	X	X
3.2	X	X	X

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Deviations from the scope of work

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Bidder’s method statement does not cover entire scope of works.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Thilivhali Muthakhi	Duvha System Engineer: Civil Water Retaining Structures	
Ayanda Nzo	Mechanical Engineering Senior Engineer – Station Support	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
May 2026	1	V Chirwa	Final Version of the Technical Evaluation Strategy.

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

T Muthakhi

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.