

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation
Strategy: Supply, Maintenance
and Calibration of Medical,
Occupational Hygiene and
Security Equipment across
Eskom Peaking Generation
Sites**

Unique Identifier: **167A/38056**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1.0**

Total Pages: **29**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	4
2.1 SCOPE	4
2.1.1 Purpose	4
2.1.2 Applicability	4
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	4
2.2.1 Normative	5
2.2.2 Informative	5
2.3 DEFINITIONS	5
2.3.1 Classification	5
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	5
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	5
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	5
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	5
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	6
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	6
3.2 TET MEMBERS	6
3.3 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK	8
3.3.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing	8
3.3.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	8
3.3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award	11
3.4 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: OCCUPATIONAL HYGIENCE SCOPE OF WORK	12
3.4.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing	12
3.4.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	12
3.4.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award	16
3.5 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: SECURITY EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK	17
3.5.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing	17
3.5.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	17
3.5.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award	21
3.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	22
3.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	24
3.7.1 Risks	24
3.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions	24
4. AUTHORISATION	26
5. REVISIONS	26
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	26
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	26
APPENDIX A: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT - MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK	27
APPENDIX B: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT FOR THE OCCUPATIONAL HYGIENE SCOPE OF WORK	28
APPENDIX C: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT – SECURITY EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK	29

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

TABLES

Table 1: Scoring Method.....	6
Table 2: TET Members	6
Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Medical Equipment Scope of Work	8
Table 4: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Medical Equipment Scope of Work.....	8
Table 5: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Medical Equipment Scope of Work	11
Table 6: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work	12
Table 7: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work	13
Table 8: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work	16
Table 9: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Security Equipment Scope of Work	17
Table 10: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Security Equipment Scope of Work	17
Table 11: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Security Equipment Scope of Work	21
Table 12: TET Member Responsibilities – Medical Equipment Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	22
Table 13: TET Member Responsibilities – Occupational Hygiene Technical Evaluation Criteria	22
Table 14: TET Member Responsibilities – Security Equipment Technical Evaluation Criteria	23
Table 15: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	24
Table 16: Unacceptable Technical Risks	24
Table 17: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	24
Table 18: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	25

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eskom Peaking Generation requires the establishment of service contracts for the following scope of work: (i) the Supply, Maintenance, and Calibration of Medical Equipment; (ii) the Supply, Maintenance, and Calibration of Occupational Hygiene Instruments and Equipment; and (iii) the Provision of Competency Training for Alcohol Testers and the Supply, Maintenance, and Calibration of Security Equipment. The above stated services are required across Eskom Peaking Generation sites for a period of five (5) years. The complete scope of work document is outlined in [6].

This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will result from the procurement process.

This Technical Evaluation Strategy (TES) document is structured as follows:

- Section 3.3 – Technical Evaluation Criteria for evaluating Tenders responding to the scope of work for the supply, maintenance and calibration of medical equipment.
- Section 3.4 – Technical Evaluation Criteria for evaluating Tenders responding to the scope of work for the supply, maintenance and calibration of occupational hygiene instruments and equipment.
- Section 3.5 – Technical Evaluation Criteria for evaluating Tenders responding to the scope of work for the provision of competency training for alcohol testers and the supply, maintenance, and calibration security equipment.

Tenderers are required to submit all tender returnables for the scope of work that they will be tendering on. Tenderers may respond to more than one scope of work. The outcome of the tender evaluations will result in an award of a single contract to a single supplier or multiple contracts to multiple suppliers.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document defines the Technical Evaluation Team (TET), their responsibilities and the criteria to be used to evaluate Tenderers for the Eskom Peaking Generation scope of work as outlined in [6].

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This strategy document applies to the cross-functional team responsible for evaluating the tender returnable that is the result of the procurement process related to the establishment of service contracts for Eskom Peaking Generation based on the scope of work outlined in [6].

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form Template
- [3] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template
- [4] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [5] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure
- [6] 167A/38055: Scope of Work- Supply, Maintenance and Calibration of Medical, occupational Hygiene and Security Equipment Across Eskom Peaking Generation Sites

2.2.2 Informative

- [7] N/A

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
C&I	Control and Instrumentation
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
SAHPRA	South African Health Products Regulatory Authority
SANAS	South African National Accreditation System
SANS	South African National Standards
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Technical Evaluation Team (TET) Member: The delegated engineers / technical specialists who are responsible to review and evaluate technical aspects of the tender documentation as per the Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

All referenced documents as per Section 2.2.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted, or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The evaluation of Tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements detailed in the scope of the work [6].

Mandatory Technical Criteria before Contract Award (gatekeeper), is a gatekeeper criterion which shall be supplied as a mandatory returnable for tender compliance prior to contract negotiation.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Table 1: Scoring Method

Score	Percentage	Description
5	100	COMPLIANT • Meet technical requirement(s)/AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS • Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK

3.3.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing

Table 3 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the medical equipment scope of work at tender closing date. Should the Tenderer fail to submit these criteria by the tender closing date, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Medical Equipment Scope of Work

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	N/A	N/A	N/A

3.3.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 4 defines the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the medical equipment scope of work at tender closing date.

Table 4: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Medical Equipment Scope of Work

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Score	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	EXPERIENCE		40%		
1.1	Company profile and experience in the supply, maintenance and calibration of medical equipment/ occupational health devices specified in the scope of work. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the	The tenderer submits the company profile(s) which as minimum must include: ○ Company structure/organogram ○ Core business areas ○ Services offering	Company profile with core business areas which are in alignment with the required scope of work AND more than 3 years of experience executing the required scope of work.	5	50.00%

		tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	- Year of registration - List of accreditations relevant to the scope of work.	Company profile lacks details but evidence submitted shows that core business areas are in alignment with the required scope of work AND less than 3 years of experience executing the required scope of works.	2	
				Non-responsive.	0	
	1.2	Tenderer submits evidence of completed works that are equivalent to the works required in the medical equipment scope of work. Tenderer produces a track record of completed works consisting as a minimum of supply, maintenance and calibration of medical equipment. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	Tenderer submits five (5) verifiable customer references for the executed works equivalent to the required works in this specification. As a minimum, each reference must contain: - Company Name - Contact Person - Contact Number - Scope of works - Previously issued calibration certificates for similar equipment and instruments - Contract Value or Invoice	4-5 References 2-3 References 1 Reference No References or non-responsive	5 4 2 0	50.00%
2.	TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES			50%		
	2.1	Capability to calibrate the medical equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix A indicating the capability to calibrate the listed equipment.	Calibration capability for 100% of listed equipment Calibration capability for at least 80% of listed equipment	5 4	30.00%

				Calibration capability for at least 60% of listed equipment	2	
				Calibration capability for less than 60% of listed equipment	0	
	2.2	Personnel competency	Tender submits CVs and qualifications of qualified Technicians who will perform the calibration and maintenance of medical equipment specified in the scope of work.	5 or more qualified Technicians	5	10.00%
				3 or more qualified Technicians	4	
				Less than 3 qualified Technicians	2	
				Non-responsive	0	
	2.3	Capability to perform maintenance on the medical equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix A indicating the capability to perform maintenance on the listed medical equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed confirmation letter stating their capability to perform maintenance on the listed equipment.	Maintenance capability for 100% of listed equipment	5	30.00%
				Maintenance capability for at least 80% of listed equipment	4	
				Maintenance capability for at least 60% of listed equipment	2	
				Calibration capability for less than 60% of listed equipment	0	
	2.4	Capability to supply the medical equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix A indicating the capability to supply the listed medical equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed	Capability to supply 100% of listed equipment	5	30.00%
				Capability to supply at least 80% of listed equipment	4	

			confirmation letter stating their capability to supply the listed equipment.	Capability to supply at least 60% of listed equipment	2	
				Capability to supply less than 60% of listed equipment	0	
3.	TURN AROUND TIME FOR TECHNICAL SUPPORT			10%		
	3.1	Tenderer indicates the turnaround time for technical support to be provided to the Employer. Turnaround time is calculated from the time the Employer logs a fault with the Tenderer until the issue is resolved.	The Tenderer provides written confirmation of the turnaround time for maintenance (preventative and corrective maintenance) interventions to be performed on medical equipment specified in the scope of work.	Less than 10 working days	5	100.00%
				11 – 15 working days	4	
				More than 15 working days	2	
				Non-responsive	0	
				TOTAL: 100%		

3.3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award

Table 5 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the medical equipment scope of work before the contract award date. Any outstanding or unclear information related to the mandatory technical evaluation criteria stipulated in Table 5 identified by the *Employer* during the technical evaluation, shall be requested from the Tenderer by the *Employer* (in writing) and must be submitted by the *Tenderer* within 5 working days from the request to the *Employer* for acceptance. If the Tenderer fails to provide the requested information within the 5 working days, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 5: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Medical Equipment Scope of Work

Mandatory Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	South African Health Products Regulatory Authority (SAHPRA)	Tenderer submits a copy of a valid SAHPRA licence to act as manufacturer, distributor, importer or exporter of medical equipment/ devices.	Statutory requirement

		The licence shall be in the name of the Tenderer or subcontractor the Tenderer intends to form a joint venture with.	
2.	ISO 13485:2016 Medical Devices Quality Management System	Tenderer submits a copy of a valid ISO 13485:2016 certificate for the sales, procurement, distribution and services of Occupational Health Screening Devices. The certificate shall be in the name of the Tenderer or subcontractor the Tenderer intends to form a joint venture with.	Regulatory requirement

3.4 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: OCCUPATIONAL HYGIENCE SCOPE OF WORK

3.4.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing

Table 6 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the occupational hygiene scope of work at tender closing date. Should the Tenderer fail to submit these criteria by the tender closing date, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 6: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	N/A	N/A	N/A

3.4.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 7 defines the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the *Tenderer* at tender closing date.

Table 7: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Score	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	EXPERIENCE			40%		
	1.1	Company profile and experience in the supply, maintenance and calibration of occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	The tenderer submits the company profile(s) which as minimum must include: ○ Company structure/organogram ○ Core business areas ○ Services offering ○ Year of registration ○ List of accreditations relevant to the scope of work.	Company profile with core business areas which are in alignment with the required scope of work AND more than 3 years of experience executing required scope of works.	5	50.00%
				Company profile lacks details but evidence submitted shows that core business areas are in alignment with the required scope of work AND less than 3 years of experience executing the required scope of works.	2	
				Non-responsive.	0	
	1.2	Tenderer submits evidence of completed works that are equivalent to the works required in the occupational hygiene scope of work. Tenderer produces a track record of completed works consisting as a minimum of supply, maintenance and calibration of occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the	Tenderer submits five (5) verifiable customer references for the executed works equivalent to the required works in this specification. As a minimum, each reference must contain: ○ Company Name ○ Contact Person ○ Contact Number	4-5 References	5	50.00%
				2-3 References	4	
				1 Reference	2	
				No References or non-responsive	0	

		tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	○ Scope of work ○ Previously issued calibration certificates for similar instruments and equipment ○ Contract Value or Invoice			
2.	TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES			50%		
	2.1	Capability to calibrate the occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix B indicating the capability to calibrate the listed equipment.	Calibration capability for 100% of listed instruments and equipment	5	30.00%
				Calibration capability for at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment	4	
				Calibration capability for at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment	2	
				Calibration capability for less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment	0	
	2.2	Personnel competency	Tender submits CVs and qualifications of qualified Technicians who will perform the calibration and maintenance of occupational hygiene instruments specified in the scope of work.	5 or more qualified Technicians	5	10.00%
				3 or more qualified Technicians	4	
				Less than 3 qualified Technicians	2	
				Non-responsive	0	

	2.3	Capability to perform maintenance on the occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix B indicating the capability to perform maintenance on the listed occupational hygiene instruments and equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed confirmation letter stating their capability to perform maintenance on the listed equipment.	Maintenance capability for 100% of listed instruments and equipment	5	30.00%
				Maintenance capability for at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment	4	
				Maintenance capability for at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment	2	
				Maintenance capability for less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment	0	
	2.4	Capability to supply the occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix B indicating the capability to supply the listed occupational hygiene instruments and equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed confirmation letter stating their capability to supply the listed instruments and equipment.	Capability to supply 100% of listed instruments and equipment	5	30.00%
				Capability to supply at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment	4	
				Capability to supply at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment	2	
				Capability to supply less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment	0	
3.	TURN AROUND TIME FOR CALIBRATED INSTRUMENTS			10%		
	3.1	Ability to return calibrated instruments within the required timeframe of 1 month.	The Tenderer provides written confirmation of the turnaround time for	With 1 calendar month	5	100.00%
				Above 1 calendar month	2	

			returning calibrated instruments to the premises of the <i>Employer</i> .	Above 2 calendar months. OR Non-responsive.	0	
				TOTAL: 100%		

3.4.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award

Table 8 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the occupational hygiene scope of work before the contract award date. Any outstanding or unclear information related to the mandatory technical evaluation criteria stipulated in Table 8 identified by the *Employer* during the technical evaluation, shall be requested from the Tenderer by the *Employer* (in writing) and must be submitted by the *Tenderer* within 5 working days from the request to the *Employer* for acceptance. If the Tenderer fails to provide the requested information within the 5 working days to the *Employer*, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 8: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Occupational Hygiene Scope of Work

Mandatory Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) Accredited Laboratory for the scope required in the scope of work.	The tenderer submits valid copies of SANAS Certificates of Accreditation in the field/scope that the occupational hygiene instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work are tested, inspected, calibrated, or certified. The SANAS certificate is to contain as minimum the company name, registration number, accreditation number, and field/ scope. Accreditation shall be traceable through the SANAS website.	Statutory requirement

3.5 TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY: SECURITY EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK

3.5.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing

Table 9 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the security equipment scope of work at tender closing date. Should the Tenderer fail to submit these criteria by the tender closing date, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 9: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria on Tender Closing – Security Equipment Scope of Work

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	N/A	N/A	N/A

3.5.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 10 defines the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the *Tenderer* at tender closing date.

Table 10: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria – Security Equipment Scope of Work

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Score	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	EXPERIENCE			40%		
	1.1	Company profile and experience in the supply, maintenance and calibration of alcohol testing equipment and other security equipment specified in the scope of work. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the	The tenderer submits the company profile(s) which as minimum must include: ○ Company structure/organogram ○ Core business areas ○ Services offering	Company profile with core business areas which are in alignment with the required scope of work AND more than 3 years of experience executing required scope of works.	5	50.00%

		tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	- Year of registration - List of accreditations relevant to the scope of work.	Company profile lacks details but evidence submitted shows that core business areas are in alignment with the required scope of work AND less than 3 years of experience executing the required scope of works.	2	
				Non-responsive.	0	
	1.2	Tenderer submits evidence of completed works that are equivalent to the works required security equipment scope of work. Tenderer produces a track record of completed works consisting as a minimum of the supply, maintenance, and calibration of instruments and equipment specified in the security scope of work and the provision of competency training for alcohol testers. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture, a letter of agreement, together with the tender returnable(s) under this criterion are to be submitted.	Tenderer submits five (5) verifiable customer references for the executed works equivalent to the required works in this specification. As a minimum, each reference must contain: - Company Name - Contact Person - Contact Number - Scope of works - Previously issued calibration certificates for similar equipment and instruments - Contract Value or Invoice	4-5 References	5	50.00%
				2-3 References	4	
				1 Reference	2	
				No References or non-responsive	0	
2.	TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES			50%		
	2.1	Capability to calibrate the security instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix C indicating the capability to calibrate the listed equipment.	Calibration capability for 100% of listed instruments and equipment	5	30.00%

				Calibration capability for at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment	4	
				Calibration capability for at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment	2	
				Calibration capability for less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment	0	
	2.2	Personnel competency	Tender submits CVs and qualifications of qualified Technicians who will perform the calibration and maintenance of alcohol tester and other security equipment specified in the scope of work.	5 or more qualified Technicians	5	10.00%
				3 or more qualified Technicians	4	
				Less than 3 qualified Technicians	2	
				Non-responsive	0	
	2.3	Capability to perform maintenance on the security instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix C indicating the capability to perform maintenance on the listed security instruments and equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed confirmation letter stating their capability to perform maintenance on the listed equipment.	Maintenance capability for 100% of listed instruments	5	30.00%
				Maintenance capability for at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment.	4	
				Maintenance capability for at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment.	2	
				Calibration capability for less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment.	0	

	2.4	Capability to supply security instruments and equipment specified in the scope of work.	Tenderer completes the Table on Appendix C indicating the capability to supply the listed security equipment. Tenderer to also submit a signed confirmation letter stating their capability to supply the listed instruments.	Capability to supply 100% of listed instruments and equipment	5	30.00%
				Capability to supply at least 80% of listed instruments and equipment	4	
				Capability to supply at least 60% of listed instruments and equipment	2	
				Capability to supply less than 60% of listed instruments and equipment	0	
3.	COMPETENCY TRAINING			10%		
	3.1	Ability to provide competency training for the legal use of alcohol tester listed specified in the scope of work. In case the Tenderer intends to subcontract or form a joint venture for this service, a letter of agreement, together with tenderer returnable for this criterion are to be submitted.	The tenderer provides references for companies for which they have provided similar training: As a minimum, each reference must contain: - o Company Name (s) - o Contact Person(s) - o Contact Number(s) - o Number of participants (s) - o The alcohol testers for which competency training was conducted - o Sample competency certificate	5 or more references	5	100.00%
				3 or more references	4	
				Less than 3 references	0	

3.5.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award

Table 11 defines the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be submitted by the Tenderer tendering for the security scope of work before the contract award date. Any outstanding or unclear information, related to the mandatory technical evaluation criteria stipulated in Table 11 identified by the *Employer* during the technical evaluation, shall be requested from the Tenderer by the *Employer* (in writing) and must be submitted by the *Tenderer* within 5 working days from the request to the *Employer* for acceptance. If the Tenderer fails to provide the requested information within the 5 working days to the *Employer*, the Tenderer will be disqualified.

Table 11: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Before Contract Award – Security Equipment Scope of Work

Mandatory Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) Accredited Laboratory for the scope required in the <i>Works</i> .	The tenderer submits valid copies of SANAS Certificates of Accreditation in the field/scope that security equipment specified in the scope of work are tested, inspected, calibrated, or certified. The SANAS certificate is to contain as minimum the company name, registration number, accreditation number, and field/ scope. Accreditation shall be traceable through the SANAS website.	Statutory requirement

3.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

The following tables illustrate the responsibilities of TET Members in the evaluation of returnable for the various technical evaluation criteria.

Table 12: TET Member Responsibilities – Medical Equipment Technical Evaluation Criteria

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1. N/A					
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X	X		
2.	X	X	X		
3.	X	X	X		
Mandatory Criteria Before Contract Award Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X	X		
2.	X	X	X		

Table 13: TET Member Responsibilities – Occupational Hygiene Technical Evaluation Criteria

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
2. N/A					
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X		X	
2.	X	X		X	
3.	X	X		X	

Mandatory Criteria Before Contract Award Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X		X	

Table 14: TET Member Responsibilities – Security Equipment Technical Evaluation Criteria

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
3. N/A					
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X			X
2.	X	X			X
3.	X	X			X
Mandatory Criteria Before Contract Award Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1.	X	X			X

X – REQUIRED ATTENDANCE

3.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.7.1 Risks

Table 15: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Apart from the acceptable technical risks stipulated as per the scoring, of 4/5, within the “Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable” column for each of the criteria items, any new risks noticed during the evaluation process will be assessed by the Technical Evaluation Team whether the risks are acceptable or unacceptable.

Table 16: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Apart from the unacceptable technical risks stipulated as per the scoring, of 2/5, within the “Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable” column for each of the criteria items, any new risks noticed during the evaluation process will be assessed by the Technical Evaluation Team whether the risks are acceptable or unacceptable.

3.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 17: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Apart from the acceptable technical exceptions stipulated as per the scoring, of 4/5, within the “Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable” column for each of the criteria items, any new risks noticed during the evaluation process will be assessed by the Technical Evaluation Team whether the risks are acceptable or unacceptable.

Table 18: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Apart from the unacceptable technical exceptions stipulated as per the scoring, of 2/5, within the “Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable” column for each of the criteria items, any new risks noticed during the evaluation process will be assessed by the Technical Evaluation Team whether the risks are acceptable or unacceptable.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

APPENDIX A: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT - MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK

Tenderers are required to indicate the ability to provide the services associated with each equipment/instrument by placing a Yes/No in the columns adjacent to each item.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	OEM	SERVICES		
			SUPPLY	MAINTENANCE	CALIBRATION
1.	AUDIOMETER RA074	RESONANCE			
2.	AUDIOMETER BOOTH	PRO PANELS			
3.	MANUAL BLOOD PRESSURE MACHINE	BOKANG			
4.	SPIROMETRY SYRINGE	NCRC			
5.	VISION SCREENER MACHINE (TITMUS V4)	TITMUS			
6.	WEIGHING SCALE (300-LP)	TCS			
7.	WELCH – ALLYN PRO-BP 3400	WELCH			
8.	BIOLOGICAL AUDIO SIMULATOR	RESONANCE			
9.	EASY-ON SPIROMETER				
10.	HMS SOFTWARE FOR EACH EQUIPMENT				N/A
11.	BACTERIAL VIRAL/ FILTERS (SPIROMETRY FILTERS)			N/A	N/A
TOTAL (%)					

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

APPENDIX B: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT FOR THE OCCUPATIONAL HYGIENE SCOPE OF WORK

Tenderers are required to indicate the ability to provide the services associated with each equipment/instrument by placing a Yes/No in the columns adjacent to each item.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	SERVICES		
		SUPPLY	MAINTENANCE	CALIBRATION
1.	AIR VELOCITY METER(S)			
2.	DOCKING STATION SC56 CABLE & SA33 POWER SUPPLY			
3.	GOLDILUX LUX METER(S)/ AUTO-RANGING LIGHT METERS			
4.	INDOOR AIR QUALITY MONITOR (TSI) - CO2, CO, TEMP, HUMIDITY, DATA LOG MONITOR(S)			
5.	PERSONAL SAMPLING PUMPS			
6.	QUEST TEMP QT44 WBGT METER(S)			
7.	SVANTEK INTRINSICALLY SAFE TYPE 1 SOUND LEVEL METER(S)			
8.	SVANTEK SV104 NOISE DOSIMETER(S) AND MICROPHONE			
9.	SVANTEK TYPE 1 CALIBRATOR(S)			
10.	HEAT STRESS MONITOR (TSI QUEST)			
11.	IAQ CALC - CO2, CO, TEMP, HUMIDITY, DATA LOG MONITOR(s)			
12.	PERSONAL NOISE DOSIMETERS AND MICROPHONE			
TOTAL (%)				

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

APPENDIX C: CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT – SECURITY EQUIPMENT SCOPE OF WORK

Tenderers are required to indicate the ability to provide the services associated with each equipment/instrument by placing a Yes/No in the columns adjacent to each item.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	OEM	MODEL	SERVICES		
				SUPPLY	MAINTENANCE	CALIBRATION
1.	ALCOMETER	LION	700			
2.	ALCO BLOW	LION				
3.	ALCO BLOW	LION	SA21525			
4.	DRUG TESTER	DRAGER	5000			
5.	ALCOTEST	DRAGER	6510			
6.	ALCOMETER	LION	A500			
7.	ALCOTEST	DRAGER	7000			
8.	DRUG TESTER	DRAGER	5000			
9.	ALCOTEST	DRAGER	6810			
10.	ALCOTEST	DRAGER	6820			
11.	MOBILE PRINTER	DRAGER				
12.	ALCOFIND	ALCOTECH	AF-100P			
13.	WALK THROUGH METAL DETECTORS	GARETT	Garett PD6500i			
14.	WALK THROUGH SCANNERS		ZK-D2180			
15.	ALCOTEST	DRAGER	6820			
16.	ALCOHOL BLOW RAPID SCREENER	LION	RAPIT TESTER			
17.	ALCOHOL TESTER	DRAGER	ARCC-0289			
18.	ALCOHOL TESTER	DRAGER	ARCC-0314			
19.	DRUG TESTER	ALERE DDS	10003113			
20.	MOBILE PRINTER	DRAGER	ARSA-2179			
21.	X-RAY MACHINE					
TOTAL (%)						

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.