

For internal use only:	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI) EVALUATION CRITERIA		
CRITERIA DESCRIPTION	RFI SCORING CRITERIA	WEIGHTING	SCORE
Number of projects with a comparable level of scale and innovation.	Number of Projects: < 5 = 0-1 5 < 15 = 2-3 > 15 = 4-5	5%	
PROJECT DETAILS: - Description of the proposed repurposing project, including - Objectives, - Benefits, and - Envisioned impact	0-1, Response only touched on one of the four expectations for the response; and/or the response was implausible and/or lacking in sufficient detail to understand the relationship between the proposed project and its goal and impact. 2-3, Response touched on two or more of the four expectations for the response; and/or the response was plausible but lacked key logical explanations to justify the promised benefits and impact. 4-5, Response was comprehensive, touching on all four response elements, providing a logical, sufficiently detailed and compelling	20%	
PROJECT TIMELINES: - Estimated duration of any installation/ construction works? - Indicated the minimum number of towers required to enable the project? - Indicated the minimum viable operating period?	0-1, Response was implausible and/or lacking in sufficient detail to understand 2-3, Response was plausible but lacked key logical explanations to justify the answers 4-5, Response was comprehensive providing a logical, sufficiently detailed and compelling answer.	10%	
PROJECT FINANCIALS - Indicated the scale of investment to realise the project (Capital Expenditure and Operational Expenditure)? - Provide evidence of the market potential to support the investment? - Critical dependencies listed?	0-1, Response was lacking in sufficient detail to understand 2-3, Response was plausible but lacked key logical explanations to justify the answer 4-5, Response was comprehensive providing a logical, sufficiently detailed and compelling answer.	20%	
PROJECT RESOURCES - Required resources listed? e.g., buildings and utilities, including annual water and electricity consumption estimates. -	0-1, No response 2-3, Response with insufficient detail 4-5, Response with sufficient detail	15%	
PROJECT RISKS: - Flagged any major project risks related to the concept?	0-1, No response 2-3, Response with insufficient detail 4-5, Response with sufficient detail	10%	
PROJECT BENEFITS: - Description of the environmental benefits, including any potential negative impacts and how these will be mitigated? - Description of how the project will benefit the local community and economy? - Estimated number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) jobs created in installation/ construction phase. [FTE = 2,080 hours per year, based on 260 working day year] - Initial view on how the proposed project will preserve the structure's integrity.	0-1, Response was lacking in sufficient detail to understand 2-3, Response was plausible but lacked key logical explanations to justify the answer 4-5, Response was comprehensive providing a logical, sufficiently detailed and compelling answer.	20%	
FINAL SCORE			